2022-23 Title VI Indian Education Annual Performance Report

DEFINITIONS AND FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

This year's EASIE Part II application has been preloaded with all available data certified from the prior year. If your entity finds that data are not preloaded for one or more questions, no prior year data was available to load for that question. If any data needs to be updated, applicants can overwrite the preloaded data in the data fields.

Section 1: Grantee Information

Type of Applicant: LEA Individual

Type of Application: Formula grant project consolidated with a Title I schoolwide program

<u>Application Timeframe</u>: Multi-Year <u>Current Application Cycle</u>: Year 2 Of 4

<u>Grade Levels Served</u>: K,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12

Reporting Period: Jul 1 2022 - Jun 30 2023

Section 2: Grant Objective Participation Data Comment

The comment section is mandatory for all grantees. All grantees are required to provide highlights of the project's goals describing the extent the activities and services address the unique cultural, language and educational needs of Indian students. Character limit is 2,000 characters.

Note: For Title I Schoolwide programs, you must include information about project activities and services, and the extent to which the activities and services address the unique cultural, language and educational needs of Indian students, and how the use of funds in a schoolwide program produced benefits to Indian students that would not be achieved if the funds were not used in a schoolwide program.

District Response:

The consolidation of funds allows students from smaller schools to have access to instructors at the larger schools (through distance/blended learning systems) to teach the content that would otherwise not be available. This provides greater access to high-quality instruction for all Alaska Native students in the district that would otherwise be sporadic without other funding sources. The program continues to provide language courses taught via distance delivery and blended models from two teachers in Manokotak School to students in three very small schools where access to face-to-face instruction is non-existent. There was a district-wide language contests that included teams from each school that worked on a storytelling component, vocabulary component, and a written component. This instructional model allows students access to regional language experts and cultural knowledge bearers that may not be readily available in their own communities.

The Cultural Mentorship program continues to be a successful model to break down cultural barriers for new teachers in our schools. Experienced teachers and community members serve as cultural mentor to new staff as part of a comprehensive orientation program. The district was able to develop a parent handbook to support language instruction in the home. Other benefits include a broader range of access to elective courses and project-based instruction during "exploration weeks" that are focused around the project objectives and schoolwide program goals. These exploration weeks occur four times each year and part of the program is to provide opportunities for students to participate in an culturally integrated, culturally rich classes that aren't typically available in a traditional year-long model.

Overall, the consolidation of funds provides a more robust program for all students.

Section 3: Cultural Data

The pre-filled data in the tables below are National aggregate percentage scores for AI/AN students in grades four and eight selected NIES culturally-related questions. The NIES is administered every four years. Please find additional information here: https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/nies/

All AI/AN students includes all AI/AN students sampled throughout the nation in public, private, BIE, and Department of Defense schools. Details may not sum to totals due to rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 National Indian Education Study who reported on learning about AI/AN traditions: 2019

Note: This data cannot be edited and is only for review purposes. Sample sizes are rounded to the nearest 100.

This is national data, not local data.

Who taught you most of what you know about an AI/AN traditions (ways of life, customs)?

		,
	Grade 4	Grade 8
No one has taught me about AI/AN traditions	15%	12%
Family members	45%	57%
Friends	4%	2%
Teachers	23%	17%
Tribal representatives or elders	7%	9%
Someone else	7%	3%

How often do you attend classes in school that are taught in an AI/AN language?

	Grade 4	Grade 8
My school does not offer classes that are taught in an	43%	50%
AI/AN language		
Never or hardly ever	23%	24%
Once or twice a month	10%	6%
Once or twice a week	11%	8%
Everyday or almost every day	14%	11%

How much do you know about your American Indian tribe or Alaska Native group? For example, you may know about the history, traditions, or arts & crafts of your tribe or group?

	Grade 4	Grade 8
Nothing	17%	18%
A little	31%	27%
Some	32%	33%
A lot	20%	22%

Do students in your school receive instruction about AI/AN cultures in current events and issues important to tribes or cultural groups?

	Grade 4	Grade 8
Yes	40%	44%
No	60%	56%

The pre-filled data in the tables below are National aggregate percentage scores of AI/AN students in grades four and eight meeting NAEP achievement standards by scoring at or above the basic level in reading and mathematics on the most recently available NAEP culturally responsive assessments, which are administered every four years.

Note: This data is unable to be edited and is only for review purposes. Sample sizes are rounded to the nearest 100.

This is national data, not local data.

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Reading – Indian Students Only Data Provided Below is from the Following Year: 2019

Grade Level	Percentage at or above NAEP Basic
4	68%
8	52%

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Math – Indian Students Only Data Provided Below is from the Following Year: 2019

= a.a. :		
Grade Level	Level Percentage at or above NAEP Basic	
4	50%	
8	60%	

Section 4: State Assessment Data

Title VI requires that application assess the needs of Indian students to ascertain the areas for project focus. As you review your ED Facts assessment information, you should consider the areas of assistance that would best enable Indian students to achieve state standards. When choosing program objectives, keep assessment data in mind. Additionally, your progress toward meeting your selected objectives will be measured based on the assessment data that you provide.

2021-2022 State Assessments - Mathematics - Indian Students

	Total # of Indian	Total # of Indian	Total % of Indian
	Students Assessed	Students Proficient of	Students Proficient or
Grade Level		Above	Above
3	36	*	5% or less
4	48	•	5% or less
5	29	•	5% or less
6	51	•	5% or less
7	41	•	5% or less
8	35	•	5% or less
Total	240	*	5% or less

2021-2022 State Assessments – Reading– Indian Students

	Total # of Indian	Total # of Indian	Total % of Indian
	Students Assessed	Students Proficient of	Students Proficient or
Grade Level		Above	Above
3	36	*	5% or less
4	48	*	5% or less
5	28	*	10% or less
6	51	*	5% or less
7	40	*	10% or less
8	35	*	5% or less
Total	238	*	5% or less

• Results cannot be published without releasing personally identifiable information.

2021-2022 State Assessments – Mathematics – All Students

	Total # of All	Total # of All	Total % of All
	Students Assessed	Students Proficient of	Students Proficient or
Grade Level		Above	Above
3	39	*	5% or less
4	53	*	5% or less
5	31	*	5% or less
6	55	*	5% or less
7	44	*	5% or less
8	36	*	5% or less
Total	258	*	5% or less

2021-2022 State Assessments – Reading– All Students

	Total # of All	Total # of All	Total % of All
	Students Assessed	Students Proficient of	Students Proficient or
Grade Level		Above	Above
3	39	*	5% or less
4	53	*	5% or less
5	30	*	10% or less
6	55	*	5% or less
7	43	*	5% or less
8	36	*	5% or less
Total	256	*	5% or less

^{*}Results cannot be published without releasing personally identifiable information.

2021-2022 Difference in Proficiency

Louis Louis III Trojiciency			
	Reading - Percent Proficient or Above	Mathematics - Percent Proficient or Above	
Alaska Native/Indian	1.68%	0.83%	
Students			
All Students	3.52%	1.55%	
Percent Difference	-1.84%	-0.72%	

2020-2021 Graduation Rate

Graduation Rate Indian Students	Graduation Rate All Students
72%	74%

Section 5: Local Assessment Data - *NWEA MAP benchmark from spring 2021-22 school year*

Spring 2021-2022 MAP – Mathematics – Indian Students

Spring 2021 2022 With Wathematics main stadents			
	Total # of Indian	Total # of Indian	Total % of Indian
	Students Assessed	Students Proficient of	Students Proficient or
Grade Level		Above	Above
3	37	*	10% or less
4	48	*	5% or less
5	31	*	5% or less
6	49	*	10% or less
7	39	*	10% or less
8	36	*	20% or less
Total	240	12	5.0%

Spring 2021-2022 MAP – Reading– Indian Students

	Total # of Indian	Total # of Indian	Total % of Indian
	Students Assessed	Students Proficient of	Students Proficient or
Grade Level		Above	Above
3	38	*	10% or less
4	49	*	5% or less
5	30	*	10% or less
6	49	*	5% or less
7	49	*	10% or less
8	35	*	20% or less
Total	250	15	6.0%

^{*}Results cannot be published without releasing personally identifiable information.

Spring 2021-2022 MAP – Mathematics – All Students

	Total # of All	Total # of All	Total % of All
	Students Assessed	Students Proficient of	Students Proficient or
Grade Level		Above	Above
3	39	*	10% or less
4	53	*	5% or less
5	33	*	5% or less
6	53	*	10% or less
7	42	*	10% or less
8	37	*	20% or less
Total	257	15	5.84%

Spring 2021-2022 MAP - Reading- All Students

	-pg = - = - = - : : ::		
	Total # of All	Total # of All	Total % of All
	Students Assessed	Students Proficient of	Students Proficient or
Grade Level		Above	Above
3	40	*	10% or less
4	54	*	5% or less
5	32	*	20% or less
6	53	*	10% or less
7	42	*	20% or less
8	36	*	20% or less
Total	257	22	8.56%

^{*}Results cannot be published without releasing personally identifiable information.

The local assessment information is the NWEA MAP benchmark from fall 2020-21 school year.

Section 5: Budget Data

2021-2022 Budget Data

Amount Budgeted	\$230,158	
Amount Expended	\$230,158	
Funds Remaining	\$0	
Percentage Remaining	0%	